Tamil Nadu and Uttar Pradesh had their own lists of Socially & Educationally Backward Classes. The list in respect of Madhya Pradesh had been stayed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh. The OM of 13th August, 1990 was modified through the OM of 25th September, 1991 to provide for the following;—

(i) Within 27 per cent jobs reserved for Socially & Educationally Backward Classes^ preference shall be given to the poorer sections amongst SEBCs-

(ii) An additional 10 per cent jobs in civil posts/services under the Govt. of India to be filled through direct recruitment shall be reserved for the other economically backward sections of the people not covered by any of the existing schemes of reservation.

The entire matter is, however, before a 9 Judge Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court which will commence hearing on 4th December, 1991. The drawing up of list the central of eligible groups has therefore, to await the verdict of the Court,

Age concession to Backward Classes under New Reservation Policy

1137. SHRI M. VINCENT; Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government have decided to give age concession to Backward classes and other covered under the new reservation policy;

(b) if so, whether notification to this effect has been issued; and

(c) if not, whether Government propose to give age concession to the said Back ward classes and others as is being given to SCs and STs?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITA RAM KESRI): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) There is no such proposal under consideration of the Government."

Representation of SCs/STs and Minorities on Selection Boards/Committees

1138. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI: Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the instructions of the Govt. of India of August, 1990 for inclusion of representatives of SC/ST and Minorities in the Selection Committees/ Boards have been implemented by his Ministry;

(b) if so, what are the details of such bodies and organisations under his Ministry where the instructions have been implemented;

(c) what are the names of the bodies which have not implemented the instructions so far and the reasons therefor; and

(d) what steps are being taken by Governmen!/ institutions in this regard ?

THE MINISTER OF WELFARE (SHRI SITA RAM KESRI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Details are given in the Statement. (See below)

(c) and (d) Do not arise.

Statement

Details of the bodies and organisations miller the Ministry of Welfare wherein the instructions of August, 1990 *for inclusion of Representatives of SCs/STs and Minorities in the Selection Committees/Boards are being implemented.*

1. National Commission for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, Lok Nayak Bhavan, New Delhi.

2. Office of the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, West Block No. 8, Wing No. 7, R. K. Puram, New Delhi.

3. Minorities Commission, Lok Nayak Bhavan, New Delhi.

4. Office of the Commissioner for Lingusitic Minorities, 40, Amar Nath Jha Marg, Attahabad-211002. .